

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,091	07/05/2001	Kornelis A. Schouhamer Immink	PHN 14, 746R	3533
24737 7:	590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			JEAN PIERRE, PEGUY	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF	l MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2819	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	SCHOUHAMER IMMINK, KORNELIS A.	
Office Action Summary	09/899,091	KORNELIS A.		
omet Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Peguy JeanPie	rre 2819	<u> </u>	
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence address -	•-	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMML - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe mmunication. ((30) days, a reply within the statutory min or statutory period will apply and will expire ply will, by statute, cause the application to a fafter the mailing date of this communication.	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 13 Sentember 2004			
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-fin	al		
<u>′</u>	·	mal matters, prosecution as to the merits	s is	
closed in accordance with the pra		-		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,7 and 10-126</u> is/are	e pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is		ation.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) 13,14,17-56,59-87,92-10	6 and 108-116 is/are rejected	l.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	<u> </u>			
8) Claim(s) are subject to res		ment.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a)□ accepted or b)□ obj	ected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any ob	ejection to the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includ	ng the correction is required if the	e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or form PTO-152		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<u> </u>	ty documents have been rece			
<u> </u>		ave been received in this National Stage		
·	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	•		
* See the attached detailed Office ac				
Attachment(s)				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		Paper No(s)/Mail Date		
B) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449	G1110/02/00/	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/899,091

Art Unit: 2819

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13-14, 17-56, 59-87, 80-85, 92-106, 108-116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over French et al. (IEEE Transactions on consumer Electronics, Vol. 4, No 4. November 1988) in view of Baldwin (USP (4,851,837).

French discloses a method for processing digital signals that can be implemented into a record carrier. The method of French relies on a modulation technique in which each information signal portion belonging to a group of the first type uniquely represents an information word. For instance, in Figure 5, with regard to stating state "1", each information signal portion (0000 1001) represents a unique information word (00000001... 00100100). French fails to disclose an information signal that comprises group of the second type having p bit cells at predetermined positions that represents a unique information word.

Baldwin discloses a method for processing digital signals that can be implemented into a record carrier. The system comprises in Figure 6 an encoder that utilizes first and second codebook having n-bit cells. The codebook comprises a plurality of code words illustrated in Figures 10A-J. The code words are characterized by bits that represent the

Application/Control Number: 09/899,091 Page 3

Art Unit: 2819

information signal portion having a first "0" and a second "I" signal values (see col. 2, lines 23-36). Figure 3 of Baldwin shows codebook having bit cells at a predetermined positions. The system of Baldwin selects codeword on the basis of the immediately preceding codeword used. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the group of the first type of French and the group of the second type of Baldwin for the benefit of selecting unique information word that corresponds to the information signal to improve the modulation scheme so critical in recording system.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10-12, 15-16, 57-58, 79, 86-91, 107, and 117-126 are allowed.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not teach a recording system that includes sync signal portions that synchronize bit cell patterns that do not occur in the sequence of successive information signals; the information signal belongs to a group of a first type and a group of a second type.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-14, 17-56, 59-87, 80-85, 92-106, 108-116 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peguy JeanPierre whose telephone number is (571) 272-1803. The examiner fax phone number is (571) 273-1803.

PEGUY JEANPIERRE PRIMARY EXAMINER